

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,871	12/21/2001	Richard T. Behrens	0094-MS-D1A	6339
7590 06/13/2007 Christopher C Winslade McAndrews Held & Malloy			EXAMINER	
			MEW, KEVIN D	
500 W Madison Street suite 3400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60	661		2616	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/028,871	BEHRENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Mew	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 April 2007</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21, 25-31</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	nte				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 2616

## **Detailed Action**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's Remarks/Arguments filed on 4/26/2007 have been considered. Claims 1-8 have been cancelled and claims 22-31 have been newly added by applicant. Claims 9-31 are currently pending.
- 2. Upon further consideration by the examiner with respect to claims 9-14, 22-24, the finality of the previous Office action is withdrawn and a double patenting rejection is made in view of Behrens et al. (USP 5,424,881).

Art Unit: 2616

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 9-14, 22-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 5,424,881. Note that the applicant filing of the continuing application is voluntary and not the direct, unmodified result of restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121 (i.e. without a restriction requirement by the examiner) and the claims of the second application are drawn to the "same invention" as the first application or patent. Moreover, although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 9-14, 22-24 of the instant application merely broadens the scope of the claims of the Behrens patent (USP 5,424,881) by merely eliminating the limitations that are not essential to the claimed invention, which are "said sequence detector including a path memory means for constructing a plurality of sequences of binary digital

Art Unit: 2616

signals; and a means for selecting the output sequence of binary digital signals out of the plurality of sequences store in the path memories" as disclosed in claim 1, col. 14, lines 59-64 of the Behrens patent. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to eliminate the inessential elements of claim 1, col. 14, lines 59-64 of Behrens in order to make the claimed invention.

In claim 10, the limitations of the instant application

"a digital peak detector for detecting characteristics of the digitized read signals indicative of storage media transitions;

timing recovery circuitry responsive to the digitized read signals and the output of the digital peak detector to provide a timing control signal for controlling the timing of digitized samples of the read signal;

a sequence detector responsive to the digitized read signals for receiving a stream of the digitized read signals and determining a corresponding sequence of binary digital signals likely to be represented thereby;

an RLL (d,k) decoder for providing a run length limited decoded output by decoding the sequence of binary digital signals from the sequence detector, or to provide a run length limited decoded output by decoding a sequence of binary digital signals from the digital peak detector;" correspond to

"a transition detector responsive to the digitized read signals for providing a digital output signal responsive thereto;

Art Unit: 2616

timing recovery circuitry responsive to the digitized read signals and the output of the transition detector to provide a timing control signal for controlling the timing of digitized samples of the read signal;

a sequence detector responsive to the digitized read signals for receiving a stream of the digitized read signals and determining a corresponding sequence of binary digital signals likely to be represented thereby;

an RLL (d,k) decoder for providing a run length limited decoded output by decoding the sequence of binary digital signals from the sequence detector, or to provide a run length limited decoded output by decoding a sequence of binary digital signals from the digital peak detector" as disclosed in claim 1, col. 14, lines 47-59, 65-68 of the Behrens patent, and the limitations

"digital pulse shaping filter circuitry for modification of the digitized read signals prior to receipt thereof by at least one of (i) the sequence detector, (ii) digital peak detector and (iii) the timing recovery circuit;" correspond to

"digital pulse shaping filter circuitry for modification of the digitized read signals prior to receipt thereof by at least one of (i) the sequence detector, (ii) digital peak detector and (iii) the timing recovery circuitry" as disclosed in claim 2, col. 15, lines 8-14 of the Behrens patent, and the limitations

"delay means for delaying the coupling of the digitized read signals to the digital peak detector or the timing recovery circuit to match the delay of the coupling of the digitized read signals to the timing recovery circuitry or the digital peak detector, respectively, imposed by the digital pulse shaping filter" correspond to "delay means for delaying the coupling of the digitized read signals to the digital peak detector or the timing recovery circuit to match the delay of the

Art Unit: 2616

coupling of the digitized read signals to the timing recovery circuitry or the digital peak detector, respectively, imposed by the digital pulse shaping filter" as disclosed in claim 3, col. 15, lines 15-20, col. 16, lines 1-2 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 11, the limitations of the instant application

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes variable filter parameters" correspond to

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes variable filter parameters" as disclosed in claim 4, col. 16, lines 3-5 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 12, the limitations of the instant application

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes programmable filter parameters" correspond to

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes programmable filter parameters" as disclosed in claim 5, col. 16, lines 6-9 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 13, the limitations of the instant application

"spectrum smoothing filter circuitry for filtering the digitized read signals prior to processing by the sequence detector" correspond to

"spectrum smoothing filter circuitry for filtering the digitized read signals prior to processing by the sequence detector" as disclosed in claim 6, col. 16, lines 10-13 of the Behrens patent.

"a transition detector responsive to the digitized read signals for providing a digital output signal responsive thereto;

timing recovery circuitry responsive to the digitized read signals and the output of the transition detector to provide a timing control signal for controlling the timing of digitized samples of the read signal;

a sequence detector responsive to the digitized read signals for receiving a stream of the digitized read signals and determining a corresponding sequence of binary digital signals likely to be represented thereby;

an RLL (d,k) decoder for providing a run length limited decoded output by decoding the sequence of binary digital signals from the sequence detector, or to provide a run length limited decoded output by decoding a sequence of binary digital signals from the digital peak detector" as disclosed in claim 1, col. 14, lines 47-59, 65-68 of the Behrens patent, and the limitations

"the sequence detector processes two digitized read signals at a time, the two digitized read signals representing digitized samples of a read signal of a magnetic storage device during two successive channel bit times" correspond to

"the sequence detector processes two digitized read signals at a time, the two digitized read signals representing digitized samples of a read signal of a magnetic storage device during two successive channel bit times" in claim 7, col. 16, lines 14-19 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 9, the limitations of the instant application

"digital pulse shaping filter circuitry for modification of the digitized read signals prior to receipt thereof by at least one of (i) the sequence detector, (ii) digital peak detector and (iii) the timing recovery circuit;" correspond to

"digital pulse shaping filter circuitry for modification of the digitized read signals prior to receipt thereof by at least one of (i) the sequence detector, (ii) digital peak detector and (iii) the timing recovery circuitry" as disclosed in claim 2, col. 15, lines 8-14 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 22, the limitations of the instant application

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes variable filter parameters" correspond to

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes variable filter parameters" as disclosed in claim 4, col. 16, lines 3-5 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 23, the limitations of the instant application

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes programmable filter parameters" correspond to

"the digital pulse shaping filter circuitry includes programmable filter parameters" as disclosed in claim 5, col. 16, lines 6-9 of the Behrens patent.

In claim 24, the limitations of the instant application

"spectrum smoothing filter circuitry for filtering the digitized read signals prior to processing by the sequence detector" correspond to

Art Unit: 2616

"spectrum smoothing filter circuitry for filtering the digitized read signals prior to processing by the sequence detector" as disclosed in claim 6, col. 16, lines 10-13 of the Behrens patent.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/26/2007 with respect to claims 9-14, 22-24 have been fully considered but are most in view of the double patenting rejection indicated above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 9-14, 22-24 would be allowable subject to the double patenting rejection above.
- 6. Claims 15-21, 25-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, subject to removal of the double patenting rejection of claims 9-14, 22-24 set forth above.

Art Unit: 2616

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin Mew Work Group 2616

CHI PHAM

CHI PHAM

EXAMINER

6/1